

From: "Zhen, Davis"
To: "Young, Howard S." <younghs@cdmsmith.com>
"Sheldrake, Sean" <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>
CC: "Scott Coffey" <coffeyse@cdmsmith.com>
"Trump, Julee M." <trumpjm@cdmsmith.com>
Date: 4/12/2018 8:19:44 AM
Subject: RE: Surface sediment sampling in future maintenance dredging areas

Howard, my take would be option 3 unless Sean disagrees. There would be less "argument" about the location.

Thanks,

Davis Zhen, Manager
Site Cleanup Unit 2
Office of Environmental Cleanup
1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 155
M/S ECL – 122, Seattle, WA 98101
Tel: (206) 553-7660
Cell: (206) 437-5826

From: Young, Howard S. [mailto:younghs@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2018 4:42 PM
To: Sheldrake, Sean <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>; Zhen, Davis <Zhen.Davis@epa.gov>
Cc: Scott Coffey <coffeyse@cdmsmith.com>; Trump, Julee M. <trumpjm@cdmsmith.com>
Subject: Surface sediment sampling in future maintenance dredging areas

Sean and Davis,

Here is a question came to our oversight staff today at about a stratified random location that is in an area of known scheduled maintenance dredging. It's the BP Seaport dredging area between RM 4 and 5 on the west side fo the river. The field team leader is asking whether EPA wants them to proceed with sample collection within the dredging footprint or move it to another location. We advised them that moving to an alternative location outside the dredging areas would be best communicated to EPA through a change request form.

Options are to: 1) sample at the primary location within the future dredging footprint; 2) move it so it is outside of the relatively small (less then 100 feet wide) dredging footprint or 3) move it to the FSP Alternative Location #1. This seems like an issue that may come up at other areas of sediment investigation area.

Howard S. Young, LG | CDM Smith
14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 100 | Bellevue, WA 98007-6493
T: 425.519.8300 | Direct 425.519.8351 | Cell 206.491.4663 | younghs@cdmsmith.com | www.cdmsmith.com